

## BRIEF COMMUNICATION

**Water relations and nitrogen fixation in potassium fed *Vigna radiata* nodules**

A.S. NANDWAL, B.S. KUNDU\*, A. HOODA and M.S. KUHAD

*Department of Botany and Department of Microbiology\*, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, 125004 Haryana, India***Abstract**

Drought created by withholding the irrigation at 30 and 45 d after sowing significantly decreased relative water content (RWC) and osmotic potential ( $\psi_s$ ) of *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek cv. MH-83-30 nodules. Potassium fed plants showed higher RWC, whereas  $\psi_s$  was further declined irrespective of soil moisture levels. The nitrogenase activity and leghemoglobin content of nodules markedly decreased under drought and nodules of potassium fed plants showed better recovery after rehydration. The proline content significantly increased under drought but declined upon reirrigation. Also, the C, N and K contents of nodules significantly declined under drought.

*Additional key words:* carbon, drought, nitrogen, potassium, proline, rehydration.

---

The osmotic adjustment is important for water uptake and growth in plants under water stress conditions (Girma and Krieg 1992, Bordeleau and Prevost 1994, Volaire and Thomas 1995). Increased solute concentration, particularly, K in leaf of alfalfa contributes to osmotic adjustment in  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -fed plants (Antolín and Sánchez-Díaz 1992, Antolín *et al.* 1995). However, the work on water relations and stress metabolites is mainly confined to the leaves rather than nodules of leguminous crops, which are intolerant to water stress (Antolín and Sánchez-Díaz 1992, Sprent 1992). Mungbean is generally grown under rainfed conditions and subjected to frequent drought. Therefore, the present investigation was aimed at understanding the role of added K on nodule water status and  $\text{N}_2$ -fixation under water stress.

The plants of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] cv. MH-83-30 were raised in earthen pots with dune sand in greenhouse. Before sowing the seeds were surface

---

*Received 2 February 1996, accepted 7 May 1996.*

sterilized with 80 % ethanol and then inoculated with *Rhizobium* sp. S 24. Each pot (2 plants pot<sup>-1</sup>) received equal amount of N-free nutrient solution at regular intervals. Potassium was added in the form of KCl at 0 (K<sub>0</sub>), 2.56 (K<sub>1</sub>) and 3.84 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup> (K<sub>2</sub>) (0.65 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup> K was in dune sand). The soil saturation capacity of dune sand was 25 %. The control plants were grown at soil moisture content (SMC) 12.0 ± 0.5 %. Drought was created by withholding the irrigation at vegetative [30 d after sowing (DAS)] and at flowering (45 DAS) stages. Half of the stressed plants (SMC 3.5 ± 0.5 %) were re-irrigated to SMC equal to control and plant recovery was seen after 2 d.

The osmotic potential ( $\psi_s$ ) of expressed tissue sap was measured with *Vapor Pressure Osmometer* (Model 5100-B, Wescor, Logan, USA). The relative water content (RWC) of nodules was determined by relative turgidity technique. Total nitrogenase (N<sub>2</sub>-ase; EC 1.18.2.1) activity of nodules was measured by acetylene reduction assay of Hardy *et al.* (1968). The extraction of the leghemoglobin (Lb) was done in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (Nandwal *et al.* 1991) and was estimated by the method of Hartree (1955). The proline content of nodules was extracted in 3 % sulfosalicylic acid and determined according to Bates *et al.* (1973). 100 mg of powdered dry mass nodules was taken for the estimation of C and N contents using the standard procedures and K content by flame photometry.

To analyze the data statistically complete randomized design (2-factorial) was used and the significance of critical difference (CD) was tested at 5 % level.

Drought significantly decreased RWC and  $\psi_s$  of nodules. Upon rehydration the nodules of the untreated and K-fed plants showed recovery of RWC and  $\psi_s$ , however, these values were lower than their respective controls (Table 1). These results support the findings of Maribona *et al.* (1992) and Nandwal *et al.* (1993) in pea and pigeonpea, respectively. Under drought decrease in  $\psi_s$  of nodules was accompanied by the accumulation of proline. K-fed plants also showed increase in K and C contents (Table 1). Addition of K enhanced RWC of nodules, but decreased their  $\psi_s$ . Thus accumulation of K in nodules contributes in maintaining the nodule water status during drought. Antolín and Sánchez-Díaz (1992) and Antolín *et al.* (1995) also reported similar increase in K concentration in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-fed and N<sub>2</sub>-fixing alfalfa plants and suggested that K may contribute to osmotic adjustment in leaf.

Under drought N<sub>2</sub>-ase activity of nodules decreased considerably (Table 1). Such inhibitory effect of moisture stress on N<sub>2</sub>-ase activity has also been reported earlier by Nandwal *et al.* (1991) and Swaraj *et al.* (1995) in pigeonpea and chickpea, respectively. K-fed plants maintained higher total N<sub>2</sub>-ase activity of nodules (Table 1) under control as well as drought conditions.

On rehydration, the K-fed plants showed better improvement in N<sub>2</sub>-ase activity. Marked decrease in leghemoglobin content (Lb) was observed under drought (Table 1), as was also reported by Bordeleau and Prevost (1994). It has been suggested that water stress triggers the synthesis of component II and degradation of component I of leghemoglobin protein (Swaraj *et al.* 1995). Change in ratio of two components of Lb affects O<sub>2</sub> binding capacity of Lb and thus, adversely affects N<sub>2</sub>-fixation. During recovery Lb content further declined. The Lb content of K-fed plants was higher.

Table 1. Effect of K on dry mass, RWC,  $\psi_s$  and proline, C, N, K and leghemoglobin contents and  $N_2$ -ase activity of mungbean nodules under water stress and recovery.

		30 DAS			45 DAS		
		control	stress	recovery	control	stress	recovery
Dry mass [mg plant <sup>-1</sup> ]	K <sub>0</sub>	36	12	8	49	29	17
	K <sub>1</sub>	50	16	11	62	35	25
	K <sub>2</sub>	49	32	12	59	39	23
C.D. at 5 %		K = 7.3	S = 7.3	K×S = 12.5	K = 8.1	S = 8.1	K×S = 13.7
RWC [%]	K <sub>0</sub>	92.20	69.12	81.77	89.54	65.77	80.64
	K <sub>1</sub>	95.41	69.88	86.93	92.52	67.46	82.40
	K <sub>2</sub>	93.59	71.64	86.41	92.40	68.21	84.86
C.D.		K = 1.55	S = 1.55	K×S = 2.69	K = 2.02	S = 2.02	K×S = 3.51
$\psi_s$ [-MPa]	K <sub>0</sub>	1.02	2.03	1.50	1.21	2.34	1.72
	K <sub>1</sub>	1.14	2.18	1.35	1.24	2.66	1.73
	K <sub>2</sub>	1.14	2.24	1.46	1.25	2.79	1.71
C.D.		K = 0.09	S = 0.09	K×S = 0.16	K = 0.06	S = 0.06	K×S = 0.09
Proline [ $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}(\text{d.m.})$ ]	K <sub>0</sub>	891.51	1667.79	1163.54	488.22	6204.09	1132.90
	K <sub>1</sub>	705.19	1574.89	1014.51	455.94	6013.12	1022.70
	K <sub>2</sub>	583.24	1595.45	912.96	432.40	5368.25	1041.41
C.D.		K = 78.38	S = 78.38	K×S = 135.8	K = 295.37	S = 295.37	K×S = 487.5
Carbon [g g <sup>-1</sup> (d.m.)]	K <sub>0</sub>	0.324	0.187	0.222	0.286	0.198	0.217
	K <sub>1</sub>	0.356	0.209	0.256	0.326	0.214	0.241
	K <sub>2</sub>	0.356	0.197	0.265	0.319	0.214	0.239
C.D.		K = 0.027	S = 0.027	K×S = 0.048	K = 0.023	S = 0.023	K×S = 0.034
Nitrogen [g g <sup>-1</sup> (d.m.)]	K <sub>0</sub>	0.067	0.036	0.027	0.042	0.025	0.033
	K <sub>1</sub>	0.072	0.058	0.036	0.056	0.036	0.044
	K <sub>2</sub>	0.075	0.050	0.043	0.063	0.037	0.048
C.D.		K = 0.011	S = 0.011	K×S = 0.019	K = 0.01	S = 0.01	K×S = 0.017
Potassium [g g <sup>-1</sup> (d.m.)]	K <sub>0</sub>	0.103	0.053	0.027	0.081	0.061	0.046
	K <sub>1</sub>	0.140	0.078	0.036	0.099	0.070	0.067
	K <sub>2</sub>	0.137	0.078	0.043	0.113	0.091	0.070
C.D.		K = 0.021	S = 0.021	K×S = 0.037	K = 0.011	S = 0.011	K×S = 0.020
Leghemoglobin [mg g <sup>-1</sup> (d.m.)]	K <sub>0</sub>	14.2	6.4	5.2	12.8	7.2	7.0
	K <sub>1</sub>	18.4	7.2	5.4	14.0	8.4	7.8
	K <sub>2</sub>	18.8	7.9	7.0	15.6	9.8	8.7
C.D.		K = 1.4	S = 1.4	K×S = 2.3	K = 1.2	S = 1.2	K×S = 2.1
$N_2$ -ase [pmol(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) plant <sup>-1</sup> (d.m.) s <sup>-1</sup> ]	K <sub>0</sub>	174.1	7.6	10.7	142.1	9.2	33.6
	K <sub>1</sub>	242.1	10.7	21.3	155.8	16.8	48.9
	K <sub>2</sub>	233.7	15.3	19.7	160.4	30.11	47.4
C.D.		K = 12.2	S = 12.2	K×S = 23.9	K = 10.7	S = 10.7	K×S = 19.7

K - effect of potassium, S - effect of stress, K×S - interaction

Nodule proline content significantly increased under drought (Table 1). However, this gain was lost upon rewatering. The lower level of proline in K-fed plants may be ascribed to their better water status.

The contents of C, N and K of nodules significantly declined under drought, but the nodules of K-fed plants maintained higher level of these elements irrespective of soil moisture contents, than the untreated plants (Table 1). The N and K contents in nodules further declined after re-irrigation, however, the C content of nodules increased as found earlier by Nandwal *et al.* (1992, 1996) in pigeonpea. Application of K increased the N content of nodules due to the increase in  $N_2$ -ase activity of nodules.

## References

- Antolin, M.C., Sánchez-Díaz, M.: Photosynthetic nutrient use efficiency, nodule activity and solute accumulation in drought stressed alfalfa plants. - *Photosynthetica* 27: 595-604, 1992.
- Antolin, M.C., Yoller, J. Sánchez-Díaz, M.: Effects of temporary drought on nitrate fed and nitrogen fixing alfalfa plants. - *Plant Sci.* 107: 159-165, 1995.
- Bates, L.S., Waldren, R.P., Teare, L.D.: Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. - *Plant Soil* 39: 205-207, 1973.
- Bordeleau, L.M., Prevost, D.: Nodulation and nitrogen fixation in extreme environments. - *Plant Soil* 161: 115-125, 1994.
- Girma, F.S., Krieg, D.R.: Osmotic adjustment in sorghum I. Mechanism of diurnal osmotic potential changes. - *Plant Physiol.* 99: 577-582, 1992.
- Hardy, R.W.F., Holstein, R.D., Jackson, E.K., Burns, R.C.: The acetylene/ethylene assay for nitrogen fixation. Laboratory and field evaluation. - *Plant Physiol.* 43: 1185-1207, 1968.
- Hartree, E.F.: Haematin compounds. - In: Peach, K., Tracey, M.V. (ed.): *Modern Methods of Plant Analysis*. Vol. IV. Pp. 197-245. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1955.
- Maribona, B.R., Tenorio, J.L., Conde, J.R., Ayerbe, L.: Correlation between yield and osmotic adjustment of peas (*Pisum sativum*) under drought stress. - *Field Crops Res.* 29: 15-22, 1992.
- Nandwal, A.S., Bharti, S., Kuhad, M.S.: Partitioning of  $^{14}C$ -assimilates, total  $^{14}C$ -activity and growth under water stress and during recovery in indeterminate *Cajanus cajan* L. - *J. arid Environ.*, in press, 1996.
- Nandwal, A.S., Bharti, S., Kuhad, M.S., Sheoran, I.S.: Drought effects on carbon exchange and nitrogen fixation in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). - *J. Plant Physiol.* 138: 125-127, 1991.
- Nandwal, A.S., Bharti, S., Kuhad, M.S., Singh, J.: Response of pigeonpea cultivars to water stress. - *Biol. Plant.* 35: 641- 644, 1993.
- Nandwal, A.S., Bharti, S., Singh, I.: Assimilate partitioning in pigeonpea under two levels of drought and during recovery. - *Biol. Plant.* 34: 267-273, 1992.
- Sprent, J.I.: Effect of environment on temperate grain legume physiology with an emphasis on nitrogen fixation. - In: *Proceedings 1<sup>st</sup> European Conference on Grain Legumes*. Pp. 131-135. European Association for Grain Legume Research, Angers 1992.
- Swaraj, K., Nandwal, A.S., Babber, S., Ahlawat, S., Nainawati, H.S.: Effect of water stress on functioning and structure of *Cicer arietinum* L. nodules. - *Biol. Plant.* 37: 613-619, 1995.
- Volaire, F., Thomas, H.: Effects of drought on water relations, mineral uptake, water soluble carbohydrates accumulation and survival of two contrasting population of cocks foot (*Dactylis glomerata* L.). - *Ann. Bot.* 75: 513-524, 1995.