

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Nitric oxide acts downstream of hydrogen peroxide in the regulation of ascorbate and glutathione metabolism by jasmonic acid in *Agropyron cristatum* leaves

C. SHAN^{1,2*} and T. YANG^{1,2}*Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang 453003, P.R. China¹**Collaborative Innovation Center of Modern Biological Breeding, Xinxiang 453003, P.R. China²*

Abstract

The relationship between hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and nitric oxide (NO) in the regulation of ascorbate and glutathione metabolism by jasmonic acid (JA) in *Agropyron cristatum* leaves were studied. Results showed that JA increased the production of H₂O₂ and NO, the activities of ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione reductase (GR), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), L-galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase (GalLDH), and γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase (γ -ECS), as well as transcription of the respective genes and also the content of reduced ascorbate (AsA) and reduced glutathione (GSH). Above increases were suppressed by pre-treatments with H₂O₂ synthesis inhibitor diphenylene iodonium (DPI), H₂O₂ scavenger dimethylthiourea (DMTU), NO synthesis inhibitor *N*^G-nitro-L-Arg methyl ester (L-NAME), and NO scavenger 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO). Pre-treatments with DPI and DMTU reduced H₂O₂ and NO production. Pre-treatments with L-NAME and cPTIO reduced NO production, but did not reduce the H₂O₂ production induced by JA. Our results suggested that NO acted downstream of H₂O₂ in JA signalling in the up-regulation of ascorbate and glutathione metabolism in *A. cristatum* leaves.

Additional key words: ascorbate peroxidase, dehydroascorbate reductase, γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase, glutathione reductase, monodehydroascorbate reductase.

Ascorbate and glutathione are two crucial nonenzymatic compounds of defence system against oxidative stress (Shan *et al.* 2015). Plants can adjust ascorbate and glutathione content by modulating their regeneration and biosynthesis. In plants, L-galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase (GalLDH) is the last enzyme in the main biosynthetic pathway of ascorbate (Wheeler *et al.* 1998) and γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase (γ -ECS) is the first enzyme for glutathione biosynthesis (Dringen 2000). Ascorbate-glutathione cycle is the regeneration pathway

for ascorbate (AsA) and glutathione (GSH). Ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione reductase (GR), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), are enzymes involved in this cycle (Noctor and Foyer 1998).

H₂O₂ and NO are two key signal molecules in plants and play important roles in plant growth, development and many other physiological processes (Zhao *et al.* 2012, Chen *et al.* 2015, Serrano *et al.* 2015) including responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Abramowski

Submitted 1 June 2016, last revision 13 November 2016, accepted 6 January 2017.

Abbreviations: ABA - abscisic acid; APX - ascorbate peroxidase; AsA - ascorbate; cPTIO - 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide; DHAR - dehydroascorbate reductase; DMTU - dimethylthiourea; DPI - diphenylene iodonium; GalLDH - L-galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase; γ -ECS - γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase; GR - glutathione reductase; GSH - reduced glutathione; JA - jasmonic acid; L-NAME - *N*^G-nitro-L-Arg methyl ester; MDHAR - monodehydroascorbate reductase; SA - salicylic acid.

Acknowledgements: This study was supported by the Science and Technology Innovation Program of the Henan Institute of Science and Technology in 2011 and 2014.

* Corresponding author; e-mail: shchjuan1978@aliyun.com

et al. 2015, Cheng *et al.* 2015, Mostofa *et al.* 2015). They also participate in the signalling pathways of salicylic acid (SA), abscisic acid (ABA), and jasmonic acid (JA) (Gonzalez *et al.* 2012, Furlan *et al.* 2013, Mur *et al.* 2013, Dai *et al.* 2015, Mostofa *et al.* 2015).

Jasmonic acid plays important roles in regulating stress responses, plant growth, and development (Qiu *et al.* 2014, Matschi *et al.* 2015). Increasing evidence showed that JA also has an important role in the regulation of AsA and GSH metabolism in plants (Ai *et al.* 2008, Shan *et al.* 2011, 2015). It has also been documented that H₂O₂ and NO together with JA are involved in the regulation of the AsA and GSH metabolism (Zhang *et al.* 2007, Mur *et al.* 2013, Dai *et al.* 2015).

Agropyron cristatum is native in the semiarid area of northwestern China. Our previous studies have showed that JA regulated ascorbate and glutathione metabolism in *A. cristatum* leaves under water stress and JA alone could also have the same effects (Shan and Liang 2010, Shan *et al.* 2011). However, whether NO and H₂O₂ participate in the signal transduction of JA in regulating AsA and GSH metabolism in *A. cristatum* leaves remains unknown. So, it is very interesting to study the relationship between H₂O₂ and NO in JA signaling in the regulation of ascorbate and glutathione metabolism, in order to elucidate antioxidant mechanism of *A. cristatum* regulated by JA.

The seeds of *Agropyron cristatum* (L.) Gaertn. were sown in plastic trays filled with sand + Vermiculite mix (2:1, v/v) and grown in a greenhouse under a temperature of 25 - 30 °C, an irradiance of 500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (photo-synthetically active radiation), and a 12-h photoperiod. The seedlings were watered with a half-strength Hoagland's solution every day. When the fifth leaf was fully expanded, the seedlings with uniform height and growth status were selected for all experiments. The roots of plants were washed softly and thoroughly. After rinsing in a half-strength Hoagland's solution for 12 h, the roots were placed in beakers containing 100 cm³ 1 µM JA and wrapped with aluminium foil for 24 h at 25 °C with a continuous radiation of 200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. To study the effects of inhibitors or scavengers, plants were pre-treated with 100 µM H₂O₂ synthesis inhibitor diphenylene iodonium (DPI), 5 mM H₂O₂ scavenger dimethylthiourea (DMTU), 200 µM NO synthesis inhibitor N^G-nitro-L-Arg methyl ester (L-NAME), and 100 µM NO scavenger 2-(4-carbo-xyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO). The roots were soaked in these compounds added to half-strength Hoagland's solution for 4 h and then exposed to 1 µM JA for 12 and 24 h. Control plants were treated with a half-strength Hoagland's solution alone. After the treatments, the fifth leaves were collected, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then kept at -80 °C until further analyses.

Enzymes were extracted according to Shan and Liang

(2010). The activities of APX (EC 1.11.1.11), GR (EC 1.6.4.2), MDHAR (EC 1.6.5.4), and DHAR (EC 1.8.5.1) were measured by the methods of Nakano and Asada (1981), Grace and Logan (1996), Miyake and Asada (1992), and Dalton *et al.* (1986), respectively. Further, GalLDH (EC 1.3.2.3) and γ-ECS (EC 6.3.2.2) were extracted and measured by the method of Shan and Liang (2010) and Rüeggseger and Brunold (1992), respectively. One unit of APX was defined as the amount of APX catalyzing the oxidation of 1 µmol of AsA per minute. One unit of GR activity was defined as the reduction of 1 µmol of NADPH per minute. One unit of DHAR activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that produces 1 µmol of AsA per minute. One unit of MDHAR activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that oxidizes 1 µmol of NADH per minute. One unit of GalLDH activity was defined as the amount of extract required to oxidize 1 nmol of L-Gal per minute. One unit of γ-ECS activity was defined as 1 µmol of cysteine-dependently generated PO₄³⁻ per minute. Protein content was measured by the method of Bradford (1976). The specific enzyme activity was expressed as U mg⁻¹(protein). The isolation of total RNA and semi-quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR of above enzyme genes were done according to method described previously (Shan *et al.* 2011). To standardize the results, the relative abundance of β-actin was also determined and used as the internal standard. The relative expression of target genes were analyzed by GeneTools from SynGene. The content of AsA, GSH, H₂O₂, and NO were measured according to the method of Hodges *et al.* (1996), Griffith (1980), Jiang and Zhang (2003), and Song *et al.* (2008), respectively. The whole experiment was repeated five times with six seedlings each time. The results presented are means of five replicates. The means were compared by one-way analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test at the 5 % level of significance.

After 12 and 24 h of treatment, JA application increased H₂O₂ content (Table 1). Pre-treatments with DPI and DMTU markedly inhibited the production of H₂O₂ induced by JA. Meanwhile, JA increased the transcriptions and activities of APX, GR, DHAR, MDHAR, γ-ECS, and GalLDH and content of AsA and GSH after 12 and 24 h. Dai *et al.* (2015) reported that JA increases the transcriptions and activities of APX, GR, MDHAR, DHAR, GalLDH and γ-ECS, and the content of AsA and GSH in the leaves of wheat, which is consistent with our results. Pre-treatments with DPI and DMTU significantly reduced the transcriptions and activities of APX, GR, DHAR, MDHAR, γ-ECS, and GalLDH as well as the content of AsA and GSH induced by JA. These results suggested that H₂O₂ was involved in the signal transduction of JA in regulating the AsA and GSH metabolism. Chou *et al.* (2012) reported that H₂O₂ can induce the expression of APX and GR in rice leaves. Dai *et al.* (2015) reported that JA-induced H₂O₂ increases

the transcriptions and activities of APX, GR, DHAR, MDHAR, GalLDH and γ -ECS, and the content of AsA and GSH in wheat leaves. In our study, we found that JA-induced H_2O_2 also increased the transcriptions and activities of APX, GR, DHAR and MDHAR, and the content of AsA and GSH, which was consistent with previous studies. However, Noctor *et al.* (2002) reported that H_2O_2 does not affect the transcription of γ -ECS. This discrepancy may be due to the differences between plant species.

Jasmonic acid also increased NO content after 12 and 24 h of treatment (Tables 1,2). Pre-treatments with L-NAME and cPTIO markedly inhibited the production of NO induced by JA at both 12 and 24 h of treatment.

Meanwhile, pre-treatments with L-NAME and cPTIO also significantly reduced the transcription and activities of APX, GR, DHAR, MDHAR, γ -ECS, and GalLDH and the content of AsA and GSH induced by JA. These results suggested that NO was involved in the signal transduction of JA in regulating AsA and GSH metabolism. Sun *et al.* (2015) reported that NO can increase the transcription and activities of APX, GR, and DHAR in roots of wheat. NO has been reported to activate the transcription of γ -ECS gene (Innocenti *et al.* 2007). In our previous study, we found that JA-induced NO increased the transcription and activities of APX, GR, DHAR and MDHAR, and the content of

Table 1. The activities of enzymes and the relative expressions of respective genes of the ascorbate and glutathione metabolism, and the content of AsA, GSH, NO, and H_2O_2 in *Agropyron cristatum* leaves. Control - half-strength Hoagland's solution; JA - 1 μ M JA; DPI+JA - 100 μ M DPI + 1 μ M JA; DMTU+JA - 5 mM DMTU + 1 μ M JA;. The plants were pretreated with DPI and DMTU for 4 h, and then exposed to JA for 12 or 24 h. Means \pm SDs, $n = 5$, different letters in the same row indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$.

Time [h]	Parameters	Control	JA	DPI+JA	DMTU+JA
12	APX [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	1.8 \pm 0.15b	3.7 \pm 0.32a	2.2 \pm 0.20b	2.0 \pm 0.18b
	GR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	1.2 \pm 0.11b	2.1 \pm 0.20a	1.4 \pm 0.12b	1.3 \pm 0.15b
	DHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	3.5 \pm 0.31b	6.9 \pm 0.53a	3.4 \pm 0.35b	3.0 \pm 0.24b
	MDHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	1.8 \pm 0.20b	3.7 \pm 0.40a	2.0 \pm 0.20b	2.1 \pm 0.23b
	γ -ECS [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	4.1 \pm 0.36b	8.0 \pm 0.71a	4.8 \pm 0.50b	4.5 \pm 0.43b
	GalLDH [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	3.7 \pm 0.34b	8.5 \pm 0.71a	4.9 \pm 0.44b	5.1 \pm 0.42b
	relative expression of <i>APX</i>	0.01 \pm 0.00b	0.20 \pm 0.03a	0.03 \pm 0.00b	0.02 \pm 0.00b
	relative expression of <i>GR</i>	0.08 \pm 0.01b	0.30 \pm 0.04a	0.08 \pm 0.01b	0.08 \pm 0.01b
	relative expression of <i>DHAR</i>	0.15 \pm 0.02b	0.35 \pm 0.04a	0.16 \pm 0.03b	0.11 \pm 0.01b
	relative expression of <i>MDHAR</i>	0.02 \pm 0.00b	0.20 \pm 0.02a	0.02 \pm 0.00b	0.03 \pm 0.00b
	relative expression of <i>γ-ECS</i>	0.13 \pm 0.02b	0.86 \pm 0.09a	0.18 \pm 0.03b	0.13 \pm 0.02b
	relative expression of <i>GalLDH</i>	0.07 \pm 0.01c	0.45 \pm 0.06a	0.11 \pm 0.02b	0.10 \pm 0.01b
	AsA [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	3.9 \pm 0.34b	5.7 \pm 0.57a	4.3 \pm 0.42b	4.1 \pm 0.36b
	GSH [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	0.21 \pm 0.01b	0.35 \pm 0.04a	0.23 \pm 0.02b	0.20 \pm 0.01b
	NO [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	1.2 \pm 0.10c	4.8 \pm 0.44a	2.0 \pm 0.16b	2.4 \pm 0.21b
	H_2O_2 [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	6.7 \pm 0.75c	12.5 \pm 1.11a	8.1 \pm 0.92b	7.9 \pm 0.85b
24	APX [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	1.6 \pm 0.16b	3.4 \pm 0.33a	1.7 \pm 0.14b	1.6 \pm 0.13b
	GR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	1.0 \pm 0.10b	1.7 \pm 0.15a	1.1 \pm 0.11b	1.0 \pm 0.12b
	DHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	2.9 \pm 0.25b	5.8 \pm 0.61a	3.1 \pm 0.25b	2.8 \pm 0.26b
	MDHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	1.7 \pm 0.16b	3.4 \pm 0.29a	1.7 \pm 0.14b	1.8 \pm 0.15b
	γ -ECS [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	4.4 \pm 0.41b	7.3 \pm 0.67a	4.7 \pm 0.43b	4.2 \pm 0.37b
	GalLDH [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	4.1 \pm 0.40b	7.7 \pm 0.75a	4.5 \pm 0.39b	4.4 \pm 0.38b
	relative expression of <i>APX</i>	0.01 \pm 0.00b	0.18 \pm 0.02a	0.05 \pm 0.01b	0.02 \pm 0.00b
	relative expression of <i>GR</i>	0.07 \pm 0.01b	0.16 \pm 0.02a	0.05 \pm 0.01b	0.07 \pm 0.01b
	relative expression of <i>DHAR</i>	0.13 \pm 0.02b	0.30 \pm 0.03a	0.14 \pm 0.02b	0.10 \pm 0.01b
	relative expression of <i>MDHAR</i>	0.01 \pm 0.00b	0.27 \pm 0.03a	0.03 \pm 0.00b	0.01 \pm 0.00b
	relative expression of <i>γ-ECS</i>	0.11 \pm 0.02b	0.95 \pm 0.11a	0.13 \pm 0.02b	0.10 \pm 0.02b
	relative expression of <i>GalLDH</i>	0.09 \pm 0.01c	1.09 \pm 0.12a	0.16 \pm 0.02b	0.16 \pm 0.03b
	AsA [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	4.5 \pm 0.51b	6.3 \pm 0.53a	4.8 \pm 0.44b	4.4 \pm 0.37b
	GSH [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	0.25 \pm 0.03b	0.40 \pm 0.05a	0.21 \pm 0.02b	0.22 \pm 0.03b
	NO [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	1.5 \pm 0.13c	5.2 \pm 0.49a	2.2 \pm 0.19b	2.0 \pm 0.18b
	H_2O_2 [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	6.1 \pm 0.61c	11.7 \pm 1.06a	8.1 \pm 0.79b	7.4 \pm 0.72b

AsA and GSH in wheat leaves (Shan *et al.* 2015). In the present study, we found that JA-induced NO increased the transcriptions and activities of APX, GR, DHAR, MDHAR, and γ -ECS and the content of AsA and GSH in *A. cristatum*, which is consistent with the results of previous studies. Besides, we also found that NO can increase the transcriptions of DHAR and MDHAR, and the transcriptions and activities of GalLDH and γ -ECS.

Pre-treatments with DPI and DMTU substantially decreased the production of NO induced by JA after 12 and 24 h (Table 1). Pre-treatments with L-NAME and cPTIO did not block the increases in the production of H₂O₂ induced by JA after 12 and 24 h (Table 2). These

results suggested that H₂O₂ was required for the production of NO and NO did not induce H₂O₂ production in the signal transduction of JA in regulating AsA and GSH metabolism in *A. cristatum* leaves. It has been reported that NO induced the production of H₂O₂ in the calluses from *Populus euphratica* under salt stress (Zhang *et al.* 2007) and in NO-induced cell death in maize leaves (Wang *et al.* 2014). Lum *et al.* (2002) and Kong *et al.* (2013) reported that H₂O₂ induced a rapid production of NO in mung bean and *Arabidopsis* seedlings, respectively. In present study, our results showed that H₂O₂ mediated NO production, but NO did not induce H₂O₂ production in the signal transduction

Table 2. The activities of enzymes and the relative expressions of respective genes of the ascorbate and glutathione metabolism, and the content of AsA, GSH, NO, and H₂O₂ in *Agropyron cristatum* leaves. Control - half-strength Hoagland's solution; JA - 1 μ M JA; L-NAME+JA - 200 μ M L-NAME + 1 μ M JA; cPTIO+JA - 100 μ M cPTIO + 1 μ M JA. The plants were pretreated with L-NAME and cPTIO for 4 h, and then exposed to JA for 12 or 24 h. Means \pm SDs, $n = 5$, different letters in the same row indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$.

Time [h]	Parameters	Control	JA	L-NAME+JA	cPTIO+JA
12	APX [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	2.3 \pm 0.23b	3.5 \pm 0.31a	1.5 \pm 0.13c	1.0 \pm 0.11d
	GR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	2.5 \pm 0.25b	4.0 \pm 0.35a	1.7 \pm 0.21c	1.3 \pm 0.11c
	DHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	2.3 \pm 0.22b	4.3 \pm 0.40a	1.5 \pm 0.15c	1.2 \pm 0.13c
	MDHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	4.3 \pm 0.38b	5.8 \pm 0.52a	3.9 \pm 0.33b	1.9 \pm 0.16c
	γ -ECS [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	4.0 \pm 0.36b	7.4 \pm 0.68a	4.6 \pm 0.42b	4.5 \pm 0.44b
	GalLDH [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	4.2 \pm 0.40b	7.7 \pm 0.71a	4.5 \pm 0.38b	4.4 \pm 0.34b
	relative expression of <i>APX</i>	0.06 \pm 0.00b	0.26 \pm 0.03a	0.03 \pm 0.00c	0.02 \pm 0.00c
	relative expression of <i>GR</i>	0.01 \pm 0.01d	0.45 \pm 0.04a	0.10 \pm 0.01b	0.06 \pm 0.01c
	relative expression of <i>DHAR</i>	0.06 \pm 0.02c	0.38 \pm 0.04a	0.13 \pm 0.03b	0.13 \pm 0.01b
	relative expression of <i>MDHAR</i>	0.01 \pm 0.00c	1.03 \pm 0.02a	0.04 \pm 0.00b	0.06 \pm 0.00b
	relative expression of <i>γ-ECS</i>	0.10 \pm 0.02b	0.37 \pm 0.09a	0.06 \pm 0.03c	0.11 \pm 0.02b
	relative expression of <i>GalLDH</i>	0.11 \pm 0.01b	0.17 \pm 0.06a	0.11 \pm 0.02b	0.12 \pm 0.01b
	AsA [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	5.0 \pm 0.45b	6.5 \pm 0.56a	4.9 \pm 0.44b	4.5 \pm 0.41b
	GSH [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	0.21 \pm 0.02b	0.40 \pm 0.03a	0.22 \pm 0.02b	0.21 \pm 0.02b
	NO [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	1.50 \pm 0.18c	3.96 \pm 0.35a	1.70 \pm 0.18b	1.82 \pm 0.17b
	H ₂ O ₂ [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	6.3 \pm 0.72b	13.0 \pm 1.22a	14.1 \pm 1.35a	13.5 \pm 1.35a
24	APX [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	2.1 \pm 0.18b	3.1 \pm 0.28a	1.8 \pm 0.16b	0.9 \pm 0.09c
	GR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	2.8 \pm 0.31b	3.6 \pm 0.31a	1.9 \pm 0.18c	1.1 \pm 0.10d
	DHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	2.6 \pm 0.29b	4.0 \pm 0.39a	1.8 \pm 0.19c	1.0 \pm 0.11d
	MDHAR [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	3.9 \pm 0.42b	6.5 \pm 0.60a	3.3 \pm 0.37b	1.6 \pm 0.15c
	γ -ECS [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	3.8 \pm 0.38b	6.9 \pm 0.61a	4.3 \pm 0.39b	4.0 \pm 0.37b
	GalLDH [U mg ⁻¹ (protein)]	4.0 \pm 0.30b	7.1 \pm 0.63a	4.0 \pm 0.40b	4.1 \pm 0.42b
	relative expression of <i>APX</i>	0.07 \pm 0.00b	0.28 \pm 0.02a	0.10 \pm 0.01b	0.02 \pm 0.00c
	relative expression of <i>GR</i>	0.01 \pm 0.01d	0.39 \pm 0.02a	0.17 \pm 0.01b	0.03 \pm 0.01c
	relative expression of <i>DHAR</i>	0.02 \pm 0.02d	0.28 \pm 0.03a	0.05 \pm 0.02c	0.15 \pm 0.01b
	relative expression of <i>MDHAR</i>	0.07 \pm 0.00b	0.99 \pm 0.03a	0.08 \pm 0.00b	0.08 \pm 0.00b
	relative expression of <i>γ-ECS</i>	0.12 \pm 0.02b	0.37 \pm 0.11a	0.05 \pm 0.02c	0.05 \pm 0.02c
	relative expression of <i>GalLDH</i>	0.14 \pm 0.01b	0.21 \pm 0.12a	0.13 \pm 0.02b	0.15 \pm 0.03b
	AsA [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	4.7 \pm 0.42b	7.1 \pm 0.63a	5.2 \pm 0.55b	4.8 \pm 0.35b
	GSH [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	0.24 \pm 0.03b	0.37 \pm 0.04a	0.25 \pm 0.03b	0.24 \pm 0.02b
	NO [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	0.83 \pm 0.07c	2.70 \pm 0.31a	1.44 \pm 0.14b	1.25 \pm 0.14b
	H ₂ O ₂ [μ mol g ⁻¹ (f.m.)]	7.0 \pm 0.58b	14.7 \pm 1.31a	15.2 \pm 1.44a	14.0 \pm 1.33a

of JA in regulating the ascorbate and glutathione metabolism. Above differences suggested that there were diverse relationship between H_2O_2 and NO under different circumstances.

In conclusion, our results indicated that NO acts

downstream of H_2O_2 in the regulation of ascorbate and glutathione metabolism by jasmonic acid in *Agropyron cristatum* leaves, which uncovered the antioxidant mechanism of *A. cristatum* regulated by JA.

References

- Abramowski, D., Arasimowicz-Jelonek, M., Izbianska, K., Billert, H., Floryszak-Wieczorek, J.: Nitric oxide modulates redox-mediated defense in potato challenged with *Phytophthora infestans*. - Eur. J. Plant Pathol. **143**: 237-260, 2015.
- Ai, L., Li, Z.H., Xie, Z.X., Tian, X.L., Eneji, A.E., Duan, L.S.: Coronatine alleviates polyethylene glycol-induced water stress in two rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars. - J. Agron. Crop Sci. **194**: 360-368, 2008.
- Bradford, M.M.: A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. - Anal. Biochem. **72**: 248-254, 1976.
- Chen, H., Shao, K., Wang, S.: Light-modulated seminal wavy roots in rice mediated by nitric oxide-dependent signaling. - Protoplasma **252**: 1291-1304, 2015.
- Cheng, T.L., Chen, J.H., Abd Allah, E.F., Wang, P.K., Wang, G.P., Hu, X.Y., Shi, J.S.: Quantitative proteomics analysis reveals that S-nitrosogluthathione reductase (GSNOR) and nitric oxide signaling enhance poplar defense against chilling stress. - Planta **242**: 1361-1390, 2015.
- Chou, T., Chao, Y., Kao, C.: Involvement of hydrogen peroxide in heat shock- and cadmium-induced expression of ascorbate peroxidase and glutathione reductase in leaves of rice seedlings. - J. Plant Physiol. **169**: 478-486, 2012.
- Dai, H., Jia, G., Shan, C.: Jasmonic acid-induced hydrogen peroxide activates MEK1/2 in upregulating the redox states of ascorbate and glutathione in wheat leaves. - Acta Physiol. Plant. **37**: 200, 2015.
- Dalton, D.A., Russell, S.A., Hanus, F.J., Pascoe, G.A., Evans, H.J.: Enzymatic reactions of ascorbate and glutathione that prevent peroxide damage in soybean root nodules. - Proc. nat. Acad. Sci. USA **83**: 3811-3815, 1986.
- Dringen, R.: Glutathione metabolism and oxidative stress in neurodegeneration. - Eur. J. Biochem. **267**: 4903, 2000.
- Furlan, A., Llanes, A., Luna, V., Castro, S.: Absciscic acid mediates hydrogen peroxide production in peanut induced by water stress. - Biol. Plant. **57**: 555-558, 2013.
- Gonzalez, A., Cabrera, M.D., Henriquez, M.J., Contreras, R.A., Morales, B., Moenne, A.: Cross talk among calcium, hydrogen peroxide, and nitric oxide and activation of gene expression involving calmodulins and calcium-dependent protein kinases in *Ulva compressa* exposed to copper excess. - Plant Physiol. **158**: 1451-1462, 2012.
- Grace, S.C., Logan, B.A.: Acclimation of foliar antioxidant systems to growth irradiance in three broad-leaved evergreen species. - Plant Physiol. **112**: 1631-1640, 1996.
- Griffith, O.W.: Determination of glutathione and glutathione disulfide using glutathione reductase and 2-vinylpyridine. - Anal. Biochem. **106**: 207-212, 1980.
- Hodges, D.M., Andrews, C.J., Johnson, D.A., Hamilton, R.I.: Antioxidant compound responses to chilling stress in differentially sensitive inbred maize lines. - Plant Physiol. **98**: 685-692, 1996.
- Innocenti, G., Pucciariello, C., Gleuher, M.L.: Glutathione synthesis is regulated by nitric oxide in *Medicago truncatula* roots. - Planta **225**: 1597-1602, 2007.
- Jiang, M., Zhang, J.: Cross-talk between calcium and reactive oxygen species originated from NADPH oxidase in abscisic acid-induced antioxidant defence in leaves of maize seedlings. - Plant Cell Environ. **26**: 929-939, 2003.
- Kong, X., Zhang, D., Pan, J., Zhou, Y., Li, D.: Hydrogen peroxide is involved in nitric oxide-induced cell death in maize leaves. - Plant Biol. **15**: 53-59, 2013.
- Lum, H.K., Butt, Y.K.C., Lo, S.C.L.: Hydrogen peroxide induces a rapid production of nitric oxide in mung bean (*Phaseolus aureus*). - Nitric Oxide: Biol. Chem. **6**: 205-213, 2002.
- Matschi, S., Hake, K., Herde, M., Hause, B., Romeis, T.: The calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK28 regulates development by inducing growth phase-specific, spatially restricted alterations in jasmonic acid levels independent of defense responses in *Arabidopsis*. - Plant Cell **27**: 591-606, 2015.
- Miyake, C., Asada, K.: Thylakoid-bound ascorbate peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts and photoreduction of its primary oxidation product monodehydroascorbate radicals in thylakoids. - Plant Cell Physiol. **33**: 541-553, 1992.
- Mostofa, M.G., Fujita, M., Tran, L.S.P.: Nitric oxide mediates hydrogen peroxide- and salicylic acid-induced salt tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings. - Plant Growth Regul. **77**: 265-277, 2015.
- Mur, L.A.J., Prats, E., Pierre, S., Hall, M.A., Hebelstrup, K.H.: Integrating nitric oxide into salicylic acid and jasmonic acid/ethylene plant defense pathways. - Front. Plant Sci. **4**: 215, 2013.
- Nakano, Y., Asada, K.: Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. - Plant Cell Physiol. **22**: 867-880, 1981.
- Noctor, G., Foyer, C.H.: Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. - Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. **49**: 249-279, 1998.
- Noctor, G., Gomez, L., Vanacker, H., Foyer, C.H.: Interactions between biosynthesis, compartmentation and transport in the control of glutathione homeostasis and signaling. - J. exp. Bot. **53**: 1283-1304, 2002.
- Qiu, Z.B., Guo, J.L., Zhu, A.J., Zhang, L., Zhang, M.M.: Exogenous jasmonic acid can enhance tolerance of wheat seedlings to salt stress. - Ecotox. Environ. Safety **104**: 202-208, 2014.
- Rüeggsegger, A., Brunold, C.: Effect of cadmium on γ -glutamylcysteine synthesis in maize seedlings. - Plant Physiol. **99**: 428-433, 1992.
- Serrano, I., Romero-Puertas, M.C., Sandalio, L.M., Olmedilla, A.: The role of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide in programmed cell death associated with self-incompatibility.

- J. exp. Bot. **66**: 2869-2876, 2015.
- Shan, C., Liang, Z.: Jasmonic acid regulates ascorbate and glutathione metabolism in *Agropyron cristatum* leaves under water stress. - Plant Sci. **178**: 130-139, 2010.
- Shan, C., Liang, Z., Sun, Y., Hao, W., Han, R.: The protein kinase MEK1/2 participates in the regulation of ascorbate and glutathione content by jasmonic acid in *Agropyron cristatum* leaves. - J Plant Physiol. **168**: 514 -518, 2011.
- Shan, C., Zhou, Y., Liu, M.: Nitric oxide participates in the regulation of the ascorbate-glutathione cycle by exogenous jasmonic acid in the leaves of wheat seedlings under drought stress. - Protoplasma **252**: 1397-1405, 2015.
- Song, L.L., Ding, W., Shen, J., Zhang, Z.G., Bi, Y.R., Zhang, L.X.: Nitric oxide mediates abscisic acid induced thermotolerance in the calluses from two ecotypes of reed under heat stress. - Plant Sci. **175**: 826-832, 2008.
- Sun, C.L., Liu, L.J., Yu, Y., Liu, W.J., Lu, L.L., Jin, C.W., Lin, X.Y.: Nitric oxide alleviates aluminum-induced oxidative damage through regulating the ascorbate-glutathione cycle in roots of wheat. - J. Integr. Plant Biol. **57**: 550-561, 2015.
- Wang, L., Guo, Y.J., Jia, L.X., Chu, H.Y., Zhou, S., Chen, K.M., Wu, D., Zhao, L.Q.: Hydrogen peroxide acts upstream of nitric oxide in the heat shock pathway in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. - Plant Physiol. **164**: 2184-2196, 2014.
- Wheeler, G.L., Jones, M.A., Smirnoff, N.: The biosynthetic pathway of vitamin C in higher plants. - Nature **393**: 365-369, 1998.
- Zhang, F., Wang, Y.P., Yang, Y.L., Wu, H., Wang, D., Liu, J.Q.: Involvement of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide in salt resistance in the calluses from *Populus euphratica*. - Plant Cell Environ. **30**: 775-785, 2007.
- Zhao, F.Y., Han, M.M., Zhang, S.Y., Wang, K., Zhang, C.R., Liu, T., Liu, W.: Hydrogen peroxide-mediated growth of the root system occurs *via* auxin signaling modification and variations in the expression of cell-cycle genes in rice seedlings exposed to cadmium stress. - J. Integr. Plant Biol. **54**: 991-1006, 2012.